ORIGINAL PAPER

On the chemical synthesis of new topological structures

Nils A. Baas · Nadrian C. Seeman

Received: 31 July 2011 / Accepted: 26 August 2011 / Published online: 17 September 2011 © Springer Science+Business Media, LLC 2011

Abstract The construction of chemical species with topological properties is an area of increasing interest. Numerous such structures have been synthesized in recent years, particularly from DNA, which is a natural synthon for these molecules. Recently, higher-order topological structures have been introduced. These hyper-structures consist of combinations of simple structures, such as a Brunnian Link of Brunnian Links or a Hopf Ring of Hopf Rings, or combinations thereof. In this article, we discuss the possibilities of constructing these hyper-structures with real molecules, particularly emphasizing the tools that result from the double helical structure of DNA.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{Keywords} & Chemical topology} \cdot Higher-order topology \cdot Brunnian links \cdot \\ Hopf links \cdot DNA \\ \end{tabular}$

1 Introduction

During the last 20–30 years major advances have been made in the chemical synthesis and assembly of geometric and topological structures. A basic idea is to use a topological or mechanical bond in the sense that the two molecules are being mechanically interlocked or linked. In such a process the molecules are roughly being thought of as curves in 3 dimensional space. From a geometric and topological point of view, knots and links (knots with several components) are very interesting structures. Knots have been studied a lot with associated invariants. Links have been studied less.

N. A. Baas

Department of Mathematical Sciences, NTNU, 7491 Trondheim, Norway e-mail: baas@math.ntnu.no

N. C. Seeman (⊠)

Department of Chemistry, New York University, New York, NY 10003, USA e-mail: ned.seeman@nyu.edu






Fig. 1 Borromean rings to the *left* and Brunnian rings (of type 1B(3)) to the *right*

A very natural question is how to realize or synthesize topological structures, such as knots and links as assemblies of molecules. In particular can one synthesize well-known knots and links, for example, the trefoil knot and the Borromean rings? This has successfully been done, see [2,5,12,15,16].

2 New geometric and topological structures

In the papers [1,2], the first author has introduced a general framework for higher order structures called *hyperstructures*. Some of the geometric and topological aspects of these were developed in [2,3] with suggestions for new applications as for example the synthesis of new materials. Let us recall some of these ideas when applied to knots and links. We basically follow the presentation in [3], to illustrate the chemical constructions we have in mind.

A topological link is an embedding of circles in three dimensional space which may be linked together. A knot is a link with just one component.

Example Borromean and Brunnian rings:

Both links in Fig. 1 have the property that if any one component (ring) is removed the other two are unlinked. These two versions of Borromean and Brunnian rings are not equivalent (isotopic), see [3].

The notion of Borromean rings has been extended to Brunnian rings as follows.

Definition 2.1 n rings in three dimensional space form a Brunnian ring of length n if and only if they are linked in such a way that if any component is removed the (n-1) remaining ones are unlinked, or in other words, every sublink is trivial.

Hence Borromean rings are Brunnian of length 3. We shall refer to them all as *B*-structures, see the figure in Example 2 in Sect. 4.

The classical Borromean rings have 3 components. We may also introduce Borromean rings of length n:

Definition 2.2 n rings in three dimensional space form a Borromean ring of length n if and only if any two components are unlinked.



This generalizes to:

Definition 2.3 *n* rings in three dimensional space form a $B\langle k \rangle$ link if and only if any subset of *k* or fewer rings are unlinked $(1 \le k \le n)$.

Hence:

$$B\langle n-1\rangle$$
 links = Brunnian links of length n
 $B\langle 2\rangle$ links = Borromean links of length n
 $B\langle 1\rangle$ links = n unlinked rings.

This may be useful in terms of thinking of possible new molecules, and extends to higher order as well.

For more examples see [3,11].

3 Higher order structures

Often in science one has to consider structures of structures: sets of sets, vector spaces of vector spaces, forms of forms, links of links, etc.

In [1,2] we introduced a general framework for dealing with higher order structures, namely what we call Hyperstructures.

In the present paper we will present some geometrical and topological hyperstructures, namely what we get when we consider links of links of links . . .

4 New higher order topological structures

All links considered are in three dimensional space.

Before giving the general construction let us give some examples to indicate the idea.

Example From rings we may form a ring of rings based on the Hopf link:

From Hopf-rings we may in the same way form a Hopf-ring of Hopf-rings as illustrated in Fig. 2.

Clearly they are topologically "ring-like" in the sense that they have been formed by chains being made into loops.

But then from these B-rings we may form B-rings of B-rings to be called 2B-rings. This process clearly iterates to nB-rings, and they are truly nth order B-rings.

Just from rings and *B*-rings there are many interesting combinations of second order structures to be formed:

rings
$$\longrightarrow$$
 2-rings (see Fig. 2)
 B -rings \longrightarrow 2 B -rings (see Fig. 3)
 B -rings \longrightarrow rings of 2-rings (see Fig. 3)
 B -rings \longrightarrow rings of B -rings (see Fig. 3).

The geometric and topological properties of these new links are measured by their complement in three dimensional space:

$$nK = \mathbb{R}^3 - (nB).$$






Fig. 2 a Hopf link. b Hopf-ring = ring of rings. c Ring of rings (type 1H(9)). d Ring of rings (type 2H(4, 10))

The topology of this is surprisingly complicated even for n = 2 as pointed out in [2]. One needs a form of higher order cohomology operations (Massey products) in order to study them for n = 2. For n > 2 and other links they have not been studied at all.

Higher order links in this new sense have not even been defined nor studied systematically in the literature prior to [2], see also [9]. Let us now explicate the general link construction that follows from the hyperstructure idea.

5 The general idea

We follow [3]. Let \mathcal{L}_1 be a family of links in \mathbb{R}^3 , for example rings or Borromean rings or both.

Pick a finite number of links from \mathcal{L}_1 and link or arrange them together in a chain (Fig. 4).






Fig. 3 a B-rings (type 1B(3)). b 2B-rings (type 2B(3,3)). c B-rings (type 1B(3)). d B-rings of 2-rings (type 2BH(3,3)). e B-rings (type 1B(3)). f Rings of B-rings (type 2HB(3,3))

Using the general deformation principle of rings (O) into U-shaped figures as follows (Fig. 5).

Then form loops (possibly knotted) of such chains in such a way that they become interlocked.






Fig. 4 a A Hopf chain of Hopf links. b A Hopf ring formed from a Hopf chain




Fig. 5 a A Brunnian deformation. b A Brunnian chain. c A Brunnian ring

Leading to 2H(3,3) and 2B(3,3):

New links are then formed of these loops (knots) or rings using the same deformation principle. We will call these second order links based on \mathcal{L}_1 and denote them by

$$\mathcal{L}_1 \int \mathcal{L}_2$$
.

Links are formed from the given family \mathcal{L}_1 . Of course we could have chosen one family or alphabet of links at each level, but it is notationally simpler if we keep them all in one family.

Next we apply the same procedure to the family of links $\mathcal{L}_1 \int \mathcal{L}_2$, for short \mathcal{L}_2 , but the notation indicate the dependence on \mathcal{L}_1 , form chains, loops (knots) and new links. We denote the new family coming from the constructions

$$\mathcal{L}_1 \int \mathcal{L}_2 \int \mathcal{L}_3$$
.

This process is then iterated to form

$$\mathscr{L}_1 \int \mathscr{L}_2 \int \cdots \int \mathscr{L}_n$$
,

abbreviated \mathcal{L}_n when no confusion may arise.

Definition 5.1 An *n*th order link is an element of some family \mathcal{L}_n .

Clearly our previous examples of *n*-rings and *nB*-rings are *n*th order links. The following definition makes a distinction between them.

Definition 5.2 The elements of a family of *n*th order links

$$\mathcal{L}_1 \int \mathcal{L}_2 \int \cdots \int \mathcal{L}_n$$

are called (n, i)B links, if: removing an \mathcal{L}_i link from an \mathcal{L}_n link gives just a collection of unlinked \mathcal{L}_i links.

Clearly *nB*-rings as we have defined them would be (n, n-1)B links, but *n*-rings would not be. Rings (closed loops) in \mathbb{R}^3 will be 1-links.

Let us conclude with some examples. If $\mathcal{L}_1 = \{\text{unknotted rings}\}\$ then

ring of rings = 2-ring
$$\in \mathcal{L}_1 \int \mathcal{L}_2$$
,
 B -rings $\in \mathcal{L}_1 \int \mathcal{L}_2$

and

$$2B$$
-rings $\in \mathcal{L}_1 \int \mathcal{L}_2 \int \mathcal{L}_3$,
ring of B - rings $\in \mathcal{L}_1 \int \mathcal{L}_2 \int \mathcal{L}_3$,
 B - rings of 2 - rings $\in \mathcal{L}_1 \int \mathcal{L}_2 \int \mathcal{L}_3$.

If $\mathcal{L}_1 = \{\text{unknotted rings, B-rings}\}, \text{ then } 2B\text{-rings} \in \mathcal{L}_1 \int \mathcal{L}_2$. The following notation will be useful later on.

Let $\mathcal{L}_1 = \{\text{unknotted rings}\}\$ and let

$$\mathcal{L}_1^H(n_1) = \{\text{Hopf-rings of length } n_1\},$$

we call these type $1H(n_1)$ links. Then $\mathcal{L}_1^H \int \mathcal{L}_2^H(n_1, n_2)$ will represent Hopfrings of Hopf-rings, we call these type $2H(n_1, n_2)$ links and we proceed to form $kH(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ links.



Similarly let

$$\mathcal{L}_1^B(n_1) = \{ \text{Brunnian rings of length } n_1 \},$$

we call these type $1B(n_1)$ links. Then $\mathcal{L}_1^B \int \mathcal{L}_2^B(n_1, n_2)$ will represent Brunnian rings of length n_2 of Brunnian rings of length n_1 , we call these type $2B(n_1, n_2)$ links and we proceed to form $kB(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ links.

For illustrations of all these types of links as for example 2H(3,3,3) and 2B(3,3,3), see [3].

Finally, there is an enormous variety of possibilities of forming new higher order links using the linking and bending (folding) principle that we have introduced. Our main purpose has just been to introduce and illustrate the general principle, and illustrate a few cases.

For example, the bending procedure in Fig. 6 may be iterated one more time (or several), then forming new types of chains and rings for further iteration, see [3] for more details.

6 Chemical synthesis and assembly

As pointed out in the introduction various types of non-trivial knots (trefoil) and links (Borromean rings) have been synthesized by chemical techniques, see [3,12,15,16], but surprisingly enough not Brunnian links of length 3 or more, and Hopf-links of order 1 as well. These ought to be considered first. Then the natural question following our introduction to higher order (or hyperstructured) links, is if it is possible to synthesize these as well (Fig. 7).

Which higher order links would be reachable?

Natural candidates would be $kB(n_1, n_2, ..., n_k)$ -rings and $kB(n_1, n_2, ..., n_k)$ -rings for $k \le 3$ and $n_i \le 3$. We think that it is useful and important to introduce and present the general construction of higher order links even if one must stick to the lower levels with respect to synthesis at the beginning.

Will this be possible by existing techniques or their extensions? Or may entirely new techniques be required?

The most convenient system with which to approach these targets is likely to be single-stranded nucleic acids, particularly DNA. It has been shown [14] that a half-turn of DNA (about 6 base pairs of classical B-DNA) is equivalent to a crossing in a knot or a link. This notion is illustrated in Fig. 8, for a trefoil knot with negative nodes.

The backbone is shown as a red curve with polarity, reflecting the polarity of the DNA backbone. Boxes have been drawn around each of the nodes. The parts of the backbone inside the boxes become the diagonals, dividing the boxes into four quadrants, two between parallel diagonals and two between antiparallel diagonals. The backbones in the DNA double helix are antiparallel, so base pairs (shown as six blue lines connecting diagonals) are drawn between them. The double helix axis is drawn as a double-headed arrow in green, and a dyad axis relating the two segments of the backbone is drawn as a magenta line perpendicular to the helix axis terminating in ellipses. A trefoil knot has been constructed using this approach [7].






Fig. 6 a Deformation of a Hopf ring. b Deformation of Brunnian rings

Regular B-DNA is a 'right-handed' structure, meaning that these nodes would all have the sign -1. This is a consequence, among other things, of the fact that its backbone contains D-sugar groups. In the past, the way that nodes with a +1 sign were constructed was to use a left-handed conformation of DNA, called Z-DNA [13]. This approach has worked in simple cases [6,8,12], but is not entirely satisfactory, because Z-DNA has somewhat different geometrical features from B-DNA, is not exactly the mirror image of DNA, and is reliably made only from a somewhat limited set of sequences. Very recently, the synthetic building blocks for L-sugar groups (the mirror image of D-sugar groups) have become conveniently available. Consequently, it is as easy (if not as inexpensive) to make positive nodes today as it is to make negative nodes. Recently, this method has been used to build a small woven structure, a Solomon's knot, that is shown in Fig. 9, [4].

Panel (a) shows the Solomon's knot and panel (b) illustrates a control structure made exclusively from nucleotides containing D-sugars. Each structure in (a) and (b)






Fig. 7 a 2H(3, 3). b 2B(3, 3)




Fig. 8 The relationship between a half-turn of DNA and the construction of a node

contains 3 domains, and the types of sugars in the domains are indicated at the top. To demonstrate that the structures have been made, it is necessary to turn each strand into a cycle. In addition, to make sure that the strands are antiparallel, each strand needs to contain a 5', 5' linkage (shown by the fused filled circles on the right of each image) and a 3', 3' linkage (shown by the bowtie-like structures on the left), rather than the conventional 5', 3' linkages.






Fig. 9 (a) A Solomon's knot constructed using specific nucleotides in the center containing L-deoxyribose sugars. (b) The same arrangement as in (a), but made exclusively with D-sugars; note that this arrangement is unlinked




Fig. 10 a Catenanes. b Knots

The third aspect of chemical synthesis of DNA molecules with complex topology is topological protection. The key issue here is that unwanted crossings can occur in a single-stranded DNA molecule just sitting in a vessel waiting to be ligated into a closed cyclic molecule. This concept is shown in Fig. 10.

Panel (a) shows that the wrong catenane can result if strands targeted to unpaired strands in the product are left unpaired when ligation is performed, but that the target product can be obtained those strands that are transiently put into a double stranded state. The bottom panel shows the same notion for knots. In the past, topological



protection has been used successfully to build a series of differently-linked catenanes from the same strands [10]. It has been less successful in protecting knots from forming undesired crossings, possibly because knots have usually had oligo-dT units as their linkers. The protecting group would be an oligo-dA unit, leading two oligo-dT segments to wind around each other to form a triple helix. The topological protecting group is readily removed by either denaturation or, possibly by Yurke et al. [17] methods of strand removal.

It seems like 2H- and 2B-rings may be an interesting and natural structures to start with, and that the second author's DNA techniques may be suitable for this. The illustrations in this paper are based on algorithms putting local pieces together like local motifs in chemistry. This may be useful in the process of synthesizing them.

7 Conclusion

We have introduced some new topological structures coming from [2]—higher order links—and we raise the question of how they possibly may be synthesized. In general this is a daunting task, but some low order links seem possible to start with.

If successful it is a very interesting question (as pointed out in [2]) what kind of new properties (electrical, optical, mechanical) these new molecules and materials may have. In [3] the first author discussed how the new higher order links suggest new states of matter in cold gas, nuclei, etc.

The fact that these higher order topological links have a highly non-trivial topological structure with increasing complexity for each level may foreshadow new emergent properties.

Acknowledgments We would like to thank A. Stacey for help with the graphics and M. Thaule for technical assistance. The first author would like to thank the Simons Center for Systems Biology at the Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, USA for their kind hospitality during his stay there during the first half year of 2010 when parts of this work were done. The second author's research has been supported by the following grants to NCS:GM-29554 from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences, CTS-0608889 and CCF-0726378 from the National Science Foundation, 48681-EL and W911NF-07-1-0439 from the Army Research Office, N000140910181 and N000140911118 from the Office of Naval Research.

References

- 1. N.A. Baas, Hyperstructures as abstract matter. Adv. Complex Syst. 9(3), 157–182 (2006)
- 2. N.A. Baas, New structures in complex systems. Eur. Phys. J. Special Top. 178, 25–44 (2009)
- 3. N.A. Baas, New states of matter suggested by new topological structures. arXiv:1012.2698v2 [cond-mat. quant-gas] 16 Feb 2011, p. 41
- 4. T. Ciengshin, R. Sha, N.C. Seeman, Automatic molecular weaving prototyped using single-stranded DNA. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **50**, 4419–4422 (2011)
- 5. C. Dietrich-Buchecker, B.X. Colasson, J.-P. Sauvage, Top. Curr. Chem. 249, 261 (2005)
- S.M. Du, N.C. Seeman, The synthesis of a DNA knot containing both positive and negative nodes.
 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 114, 9652–9655 (1992)
- S.M. Du, N.C. Seeman, The construction of a trefoil knot from a DNA branched junction motif. Biopolymers 34, 31–37 (1994)
- S.M. Du, B.D. Stollar, N.C. Seeman, A synthetic DNA molecule in three knotted topologies. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 117, 1194–1200 (1995)
- 9. S. Dugowson, The connectivity order of links (2008) [arXiv:0804.4323v1] [math.GN]



- T.-J. Fu, Y.-C. Tse-Dinh, N.C. Seeman, Holliday junction crossover topology. J. Mol. Biol. 236, 91– 105 (1994)
- 11. C. Liang, K. Mislow, On Borromean links. J. Math. Chem. 16, 27–35 (1994)
- 12. C. Mao, W. Sun, N.C. Seeman, Assembly of Borromean rings from DNA. Nature 386, 137-138 (1997)
- A. Rich, A. Nordheim, A.H.J. Wang, The chemistry and biology of left-handed Z-DNA. Ann. Rev. Biochem. 53, 791–846 (1984)
- 14. N.C. Seeman, The design of single-stranded nucleic acid knots. Molec. Eng. 2, 297–307 (1992)
- 15. N. Seeman, Synthetic single-stranded DNA topology, in *Proceedings of Symposia in Applied Math.* vol 66 (American Mathematical Society, 2009)
- 16. J.F. Stoddart, Chem. Soc. Rev. 38, 1802 (2009)
- B. Yurke, A.J. Turberfield, A.P. Mills Jr, F.C. Simmel, J.L. Newmann, A DNA-fuelled molecular machine made of DNA. Nature 406, 605–608 (2000)

